



RHODE ISLANDERS FOR IMMIGRATION LAW ENFORCEMENT

R.I.I.L.E.

**December 2011 – Issue 11-12
P.O. Box 01, Central Falls, RI 02863
www.riile.org - info@riile.org**

SILENCE IS CONSENT - LET YOUR VOICE BE HEARD

Note: Articles are shortened/paraphrased. PLEASE go to websites in INSERT for entire article.

MERRY CHRISTMAS, HAPPY HANUKAH, AND GOD BLESS AMERICA

America was founded on Judeo Christian principles and values; and we, as Americans, must preserve the basis of our country so that it can continue to be the beacon of light that so many have given their lives for and so many would like to be a part of.

Religious tolerance is an important part of our country. We do, indeed, have many Americans of different faiths and beliefs along with non-believers—and all Americans are FREE to practice their beliefs—within our laws, of course. We also ascribe to separation of Church and State in order to keep government out of our religious lives, and make sure that government cannot create a national religion nor give preference to a particular religion. The documents that established America specifically recognize our Creator and the unalienable rights endowed by that Creator—that among these are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. Government cannot be allowed to remove our Creator from the soul of our country or be allowed to intimidate and undermine any American who believes in that Creator. “Tolerance” has been perverted to mean giving in to pressure from groups who claim that they are offended by other religions and who demand preference for their religion—or non-religion.

America is “multi-ethnic”—not “multi-cultural. The American culture is unique and absolutely necessary to the strength and preservation of our country. Germany and Great Britain have already declared that multi-culturalism has failed dramatically in their countries, and that the “tolerance” these countries offered—and that Americans are being forced to accept—has been abused and used against them by people who have no intention of honoring the country that accepted them.

It is absolutely necessary that “immigrants” to America enter our country in compliance with our immigration laws, follow those laws, and, eventually, assimilate as Americans—into the American culture, the American system of laws, and the American way of life. Otherwise, our country and all things American are at risk.

We do not have to deport the millions of illegal aliens (reported at about 12 million—but more likely 20-40 million), and we do not need to “reform” our immigration system. Enforcement of our CURRENT immigration laws would make it impossible for illegal immigration to continue at its current rate and impossible for an illegal alien already in our country to be able to stay here. Enforcement would not allow illegal aliens to work in our country, freeing up millions of jobs for Americans. It would dramatically reduce social programs that illegal aliens are benefiting from—saving American taxpayer dollars on education, health services, welfare, housing, food stamps, etc., etc.,--because these programs allow illegal aliens to “qualify” as “minorities” and “low-income.”

Enforcement of our immigration laws will send a message—to illegal aliens, terrorists, and the drug cartels—that getting into America will no longer be easy because America and American citizens are worth protecting, and our people demand it.

We already have good, strong laws in place—but the U.S. government refuses to enforce them, choosing to destroy our great country and “fundamentally change” it into a multi-cultural disaster that you can see in the uprisings in every other country in the news. First “the people” voice their opposition. Then “the people” are taken over by radical groups. Then the radical groups take over the government. That is the “democracy” this Administration says it will bring to America—not the peaceful, civil, citizen-oriented Constitutional Republic that is America.

And “The Great Experiment” in Rhode Island continues. As we have said before, as the White House goes, so goes Rhode Island—in its thinking and in its “procedures.” If the president can’t get what he wants through Congress—or can’t get it fast enough—he appoints a commission and/or issues an Executive Order. Chafee does the same thing: He ignored the RI General Assembly and had the Rhode Island Board of Governors for Higher Education (**whose members he appointed**) decide—**by policy, not law**—whether to give in-state tuition rates to illegal aliens (which they did). He ignored the General Assembly and created an Executive Order, **not a law**, to institute Obamacare in Rhode Island and **he appointed the Board of Directors for the exchange**. Members of Congress and of the RI General Assembly—**ELECTED BY AMERICAN CITIZENS**--were just pushed out of the way. That is not the American form of government. **Note:** The health exchange Bill in the General Assembly’s last session was not heard because of certain language contained in it. Chafee would have lost the \$58 million grant, and he wanted to **lock in** the health exchange.

<http://digital.olivesoftware.com/OLIVE/ODE/PROJO/Default.aspx?href=TPJ%2F2011%2F11%2F30&pageno=11&view=document> RI’s \$58 million federal grant (its third grant) is the final step in creating the health exchange, making Rhode Island **the first state to even apply for the final level of funding.**

<http://digital.olivesoftware.com/Olive/ODE/ProJo/Default.aspx?href=TPJ%2F2011%2F12%2F02&pageno=22&view=document> Twenty-eight legislators (20 reps and 8 senators—no GA leadership) joined the RI State Right to Life Committee in filing suit against Governor Chafee to overturn his executive order creating a health-benefits exchange, asserting that only the General Assembly has the power to create the exchange. Senate President M. Teresa Paiva Weed (sponsor of the Bill) re-sent an earlier statement that called the exchange established by Chafee “satisfactory, only if it is temporary and the board has no executive authority.” Joseph S. Larisa Jr., plaintiffs’ lawyer, said, “It’s black-letter law that the governor cannot legislate. It all started with everyone agreeing, we need a law.” The bill states, “Statutory changes to Rhode Island law are necessary in order to establish an American health-benefit exchange in Rhode Island ...” In looking for authority to create the exchange, the governor squeezed the “monstrous undertaking” of the exchange into a 1974 law that never envisioned such a thing. The law gives the health director control over a “health-resources development fund.” He said the governor’s action converts that fund into the exchange and gives the health director “unfettered control” over tens of millions of dollars in public and private money. List of legislators is in INSERT.

This health care maneuver is following the same course as the Dream Act (which includes in-state tuition for illegal aliens) to exclude local and federal governing bodies that represent American citizens. Advocates are pushing individual states to pass their own legislation by any means possible.

<http://www.heritage.org/research/reports/2011/11/providing-in-state-tuition-for-illegal-aliens-a-violation-of-federal-law> In 1996, Congress passed—and President Bill Clinton signed into law—the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act (IIRIRA).(i) Section 1623 of this federal statute prohibits state colleges and universities from providing in-state tuition rates to illegal aliens “on the basis of residence within the State” unless the same in-state rates are offered to all citizens of the United States.(ii) Today, 12 states(iii) allow individuals who are in the United States illegally to pay the same in-state tuition rates as legal residents of the states(iv)—without providing the same rates to others. By circumventing the requirements of § 1623 these states are violating federal law, and the legal arguments offered to justify such actions are untenable, no matter what other policy arguments are offered in their defense. (i) Pub.L. 104-208; 110 Stat. 3009-546 (1996). (ii) 8 U.S.C. § 1623 (1996). (iii) California, Texas, New York, Utah, Washington, Oklahoma, Illinois, Kansas, New Mexico, Nebraska, Maryland, and Connecticut. (iv) *Undocumented Student Tuition: State Action*, National Conference of State Legislatures (October 2011), <http://www.ncsl.org/default.aspx?tabid=12846>. Wisconsin repealed its law providing illegal aliens with in-state tuition rates in 2011. Arizona, Colorado, Georgia, and Indiana prohibit illegal aliens from receiving in-state tuition rates. Some states like Arizona, Colorado, Georgia, and South Carolina prohibit in-state tuition benefits for illegal aliens. Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 15-1803(B) (2006); Colo. Rev. Stat. § 24-76.5-101 (2006); Ga. Code Ann. § 50-36-1 (2011); S.C. Code § 8-29-10 (2008).

<http://digital.olivesoftware.com/Olive/ODE/ProJo/LandingPage/LandingPage.aspx?href=VFBKLzlwMTEvMTEvMjc.&pageno=MQ.&entity=QXlwMDEwMA.&view=ZW50aXR5> **VOTER ID.** In 2012 Rhode Island voters will be required to show identification at polling places, and photo ID will be required in 2014. A public hearing will be held Dec. 9 at the State House on new rules governing the issuance of the IDs. According to Secretary of State Ralph Mollis, "Our bill is a national model for protecting the right to vote. The simple act of asking for ID protects the rights of every voter." The IDs should be available the first week in January, in time for the April 24 presidential primary. Mollis spokesman Christopher Barnett said the state hopes to purchase four ID-making systems--one at the Elections Division and three mobile units. "We'll visit senior centers, community groups, social services providers and homeless shelters."

http://www.rollcall.com/news/dnc_pushes_back_against_voter_id_laws-210698-1.html?pos=hbtxt The Democratic National Committee announced new initiatives to push back against voter identification laws that Democrats say suppress the vote. Their site, ProtectingtheVote.org, and an accompanying report, "A Reversal in Progress," are the first step in an "unprecedented voter protection effort," said DNC Chairwoman Debbie Wasserman Schultz (Fla.). "We're aggressively engaged in making sure that we help voters remove these obstacles and barriers," Wasserman Schultz said, adding that the voter ID laws favored by Republicans are "essentially designed to rig an election." Democrats plan to begin organizing lawyers to help them monitor the 2012 presidential elections. **Those lawyers are expected to keep a close eye on a national tea party effort to train poll watchers and workers.**

"The American people overwhelmingly agree that protecting the integrity of our democracy begins at the ballot box," Kirsten Kukowski, a spokeswoman for the Republican National Committee, told Roll Call. "Photo IDs are required to drive a car, collect government assistance and fly on a plane. Knowing President Obama is facing a steep climb to re-election, Democrats are resorting to scare tactics rather than addressing voter fraud."

<http://thinkprogress.org/justice/2011/09/30/333359/obama-doj-war-on-voting/> **VOTER ID.** Article is from a Progressive publication and voter ID laws are referred to as "anti-voter laws" that violate the Voting Rights Act. Radio host Michael Smerconish interviews President Obama. Smerconish says that in the past year, GOP state lawmakers have enacted a raft of laws intended to make it more difficult for Democrats to win election. OBAMA: I will say that my big priority is making sure that as many people are participating in our democracy as possible. Some of these moves in some of the other states that we've seen—trying to make it tougher to vote, restricting ballot access, making it hard on seniors, making it hard on young people. I think that's a big mistake and I have made sure that our Justice Department's taken a look at what's being done across the country to ensure that people aren't being denied access to the franchise. WATCH VIDEO AT SITE.

Yet another maneuver of the Obama administration to divide Americans:
<http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2011-12-02/obama-administration-says-schools-may-use-race-to-aid-diversity.html> Obama Administration Says Schools May Use Race for Diversity, Dec. 2, 2011. The Obama administration told school districts and universities they may consider the race of students in programs to foster diversity, revoking Bush administration guidelines that had advocated race-neutral enrollment policies. The **policy** represents the **administration's interpretation** of three recent Supreme Court decisions that have limited the use of race, without outlawing it altogether. How much impact the new guidance has will depend in part on the Supreme Court, which will soon consider whether to revisit the subject of university affirmative action in a case centering on the University of Texas at Austin. The U.S. Justice Department and Education Department laid out approaches schools can use to promote racial diversity. The "guidance" for elementary and secondary schools (K-12) is 14 pages.
<http://www.ed.gov/news/press-releases/new-guidance-supports-voluntary-efforts-promote-diversity-and-reduce-racial-isol> New Guidance Supports Voluntary Efforts to Promote Diversity and Reduce Racial Isolation in Education, December 2, 2011 Two new guidance documents—one for school districts and one for colleges and universities—detailing the flexibility that the Supreme Court has provided to educational institutions to promote diversity and, in the case of elementary and secondary schools, reduce racial isolation among students within the confines of the law. The guidance is primarily based on three Supreme Court decisions, Parents Involved in Community Schools v. Seattle School District No. 1, Grutter v. Bollinger and Gratz v. Bollinger, which specifically addressed the consideration of race by educational institutions. The guidance lays out legal standards under the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment and Titles IV and VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which are enforced by the Departments. Previous guidance issued by the Bush Administration in 2008 is being withdrawn today.
GET WEBSITES FROM THE INSERT.

FOLLOW THE MONEY! Fraud is surfacing in many social programs. THIS IS TAXPAYER MONEY!
<http://digital.olivesoftware.com/OLIVE/ODE/PROJO/Default.aspx?href=TPJ%2F2011%2F11%2F30&page no=11&view=document> Mark Therrien, RIPTA assistant general manager for planning, said that a ridership “surge” pattern in free passes for the elderly and disabled was brought to his attention by a planning analyst--300,000 to 400,000 rides per year at \$2 per ride. RIPTA planner, Kevin M. Perry: Figures suggest that no-fare ridership by disabled pass holders jumped just over 450,000 rides from 2008-09 to 2009-10, with another increase of 288,230 rides in 2010-11, but the problem is hard to prove. RIPTA is tightening the rules and held a public hearing November 29 on a proposed new policy. One change: eliminate a doctor’s note as a qualification for a pass. “It’s not doctors doing the fraud,” said RIPTA planner Anne LeClerc. “It’s people pretending to be doctors.” **Well, that’s a new twist. We already know about real doctors known as Dr. Summeroff and Dr. Winteroff.** RIPTA requires photo identification for the passes, but Therrien said they have noticed “a few people where the photos and the people didn’t match.” **NOTE: You need photo ID to get a RIPTA free pass? THEN IT SHOULD BE NO PROBLEM TO SHOW PHOTO ID WHEN YOU GO TO VOTE!! And—if they “notice” a problem, do they look into it?** Olginia DeLosSantos, clerk for applications at Kennedy Plaza said she sees 2-5 suspicious applications per week of the 40-60 she receives and many that appear similar, as though they have been photocopied or information has apparently been “whited out” and replaced with something different. Many are suspicious because they are signed by a “doctor” but don’t include the doctor’s license number. **Are these incidents reported immediately? Are these people investigated? IF NOT, WHY NOT?** Bill Inlow, RIPTA disabilities coordinator who approves pass applications, said: “I’ve never seen any evidence of fraud.” Rhode Island, he said, is “much more generous than the minimum federal regulations” in offering free or discounted rides to the elderly and handicapped. **Note: The elderly are probably not the culprits. And, even if the application looks good, how do they know that the doctor WITH a license number does not have the alias of Summeroff or Winteroff?**

http://www.thereporter.com/wirenews/ci_19377618 Thousands of retailers engage in “food stamp trafficking,” giving customers cash (less than the value of the food stamps) and then turning in the stamps to the state for the full value, netting them huge profits and **stealing as much as \$330 million a year in taxpayer dollars that are used to fund the program.** Last August, former Providence 7-Eleven franchisee Syed Shah, 43, was charged with conspiracy for trafficking and allowing unauthorized purchases. Redemptions at Shah's store skyrocketed from \$228,000 in 2008 to nearly \$1 million last year and far exceeded that of other 7-Eleven stores. If a customer was very loyal, and used his store on a regular basis, then they would charge these customers less to provide them with cash back for their food stamps.

Providence Community Action Program (PROCAP). Unpaid bills, mismanagement, shredding, etc., being investigated at PROCAP. What is going on? Another social program for minorities and low-income being abused. **WHY IS IT HAPPENING? BECAUSE NOBODY IS WATCHING.**

Rhode Island has many, many agencies and non-profit organizations to help the “poor”—**TOO MANY.** Now we find out that they can’t even guarantee that everyone receiving aid is **actually eligible** for it. Plenty of taxpayer money is being spent—state and federal—but there is no constant, consistent monitoring of the systems. Maybe they should be paying attention to some of the “anecdotal” information they receive, or to their own observations in their daily lives, to see what goes on in grocery stores, discount stores, neighborhood stores, and with pre-arranged deals on the day food stamps and other assistance funds are issued.

R.I.I.L.E. meets the first **Tuesday** of every month, at **7 p.m.**, at the American Legion Club, 1108 Charles St., North Providence (corner of Remington St. next to Citizens Bank). Parking in rear. Please help us save Rhode Island.

SILENCE IS CONSENT - LET YOUR VOICE BE HEARD!

Executive Director	Terry Gorman
Director	Karin Gorman
Writer/Contributor	Kathleen Gudaitis
Editor	Karin Gorman

RHODE ISLANDERS FOR IMMIGRATION LAW ENFORCEMENT (R.I.I.L.E.)
P.O. Box 1, Central Falls, RI 02863 (401) 475-2410
www.riile.org EMAIL: info@riile.org